

Minutes of Meeting of Purchase Committee of JREDA held on 18.06.2013 at JREDA office for evaluation of Technical bid against NIB No. **03/JREDA/SPV/SL(LED)/2013-14** for Manufacturing, Testing, & Supply of Indigenous LED based Solar Lanterns under Solar Photovoltaic Programme for financial year 2012-13 for Jharkhand Renewable Energy Development Agency (JREDA).

Member Present:


1. Shri V.S. Gaur, Director, JREDA
2. Shri K.K. Verma, CE, Energy Department
3. Shri M.M.P. Tripathi, Under Secretary, Energy Department
4. Shri Rajendra Prasad, Project Manager, Directorate of Industry
5. Shri Ramesh Prasad, Under Secretary, Finance Department
6. Shri A.K.B. Prasad, EEE, Energy Department.

Purchase committee meeting of JREDA held on 18.06.2013 at JREDA office for evaluation of Technical bid against NIT No. 03/JREDA/SPV/SL(LED)/ 2013-14. Comparative Statement prepared by Tender Committee of JREDA and its recommendations were perused by the purchase committee members.

On the basis of documents submitted by bidders and the Comparative Statement of Technical bid Part-I prepared by Tender Committee of JREDA, the purchase committee has examined the document submitted by all the bidders and a statement has been prepared for all the bids and is enclosed. On the basis of it, the views of Purchase Committee of JREDA on their Technical evaluation are as follows:-

1. **M/s M.G. Solar Powertronics, Mumbai** :- The bidder quote for supply of 5000 Nos. Solar Lantern & submitted the equivalent amount of Bank guarantee for quoted quantity. The Purchase committee observed that the bidder has submitted experience for supply of PCUs & invertors only instead of complete SPV System (SL/ SHLS/ SSLs/ Power Plant) Page no.12-23. Therefore it is concluded the bidder does not have the required satisfactory work experience for SPV systems. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **not qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).
2. **M/s Multi Services Inc, Jamshedpur**:- M/s Multi Services Inc. is a Jharkhand's registered MSME bidder & Manufacturer of Electronics item. The bidder has quoted for supply of 2000 Nos. Solar Lantern. The Purchase committee observed that the bidder has submitted the work orders which have been issued by the Private firms (Page no.76-81). None of the experience certificate is from any SNA or Govt. body or PSU. Therefore it is concluded the bidder does not have the required satisfactory work experience from Govt. Department /PCU's for SPV systems. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **not qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).




18/6












3. **M/s Tata Power Solar System, Kolkata:-** The bidder has quoted for supply of 12500 Nos. & submitted the equivalent amount of Bank guarantee. The bidder has submitted the work order on page Page no.31 & the Corresponding performance for 3.75 kWp on page no.-32. The bidder has submitted work order on page no.34-40 but their Corresponding performance certificate has not been submitted. The bidder has submitted performance certificate on Page no.-39-53. But their Corresponding work order has not been submitted. Therefore it is concluded that the bidder has failed to submit the document to satisfy the requirement for satisfactory work experience in this bid and thus the bidder does not have the required satisfactory work experience. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **not qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).
4. **M/s Avni Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd.:-** The bidder has quoted for supply of 6250 Nos for supply of Solar Lantern & submitted the equivalent amount of Bank guarantee. The bidder has submitted work order on page no.27-31 but their corresponding performance certificate has not been submitted. The bidder has submitted performance certificate on page no.-26, but their corresponding work order has not been submitted. Therefore it is concluded the bidder does not have the required satisfactory work experience. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **not qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II)
5. **M/s Abhishek Industries, Ranchi :-** The bidder has quoted for supply of 12500 Nos. Solar Lantern. The bidder has submitted Solar Lantern Test Report issued from Solar Energy Centre, Gurgaon, Haryana in the name of "**Ritika System Pvt. Ltd., Noida**" instead of **M/s Abhishek Industries, Ranchi**. Therefore it is concluded the bidder does not have the required Test Certificate for Solar Lantern LED Based in the name of Bidder. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **not qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).
6. **M/s U.M. Green Lighting Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon, Haryana :-** The bidder has quoted for supply of 12500 Nos. Solar Lantern (LED Based) & submitted the equivalent amount of Bank guarantee for quoted quantity. Purchase committee observed that the bidder has submitted balance sheet for two years on Page no.33-35. Further it has been observed that the bidder has submitted the Provisional Balance Sheet for FY 2012-13, as on page no.39-48 instead of the audited balance sheet. The committee considered this point and observed that the copy of three balance sheet have been sought in the tender to substantiate the claim for being functional organization. Therefore on the basis of provisional balance sheet the functionality of the bidder may be accepted. Annual Turnover of this company is 715.76 crore on page no. Page no.216 and Net worth is 170 Crore on page no.218. Hence the committee recommends that the bidder is **qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).




18/6












7. **M/s Moserbare India Ltd., New Delhi :-** The bidder has quoted for supply of 12500 Nos. & submitted the equivalent amount of Bank guarantee. The bidder has submitted work order on page no. 65-139 but their corresponding performance certificate has not been submitted. Therefore it is concluded that the bidder has failed to submit the document to satisfy the requirement for satisfactory work experience in this bid and thus the bidder does not have the required satisfactory work experience. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **not qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).
8. **M/s Natural Lights Pvt. Ltd., Jaipur :-** The bidder has quoted for supply of 5000 Nos. & submitted the equivalent amount of Bank guarantee. The bidder has submitted work order on page no. 68-71 but their corresponding performance certificate has not been submitted. The bidder has submitted performance certificate on page no. 99-106, But their corresponding work order has not been submitted. Therefore it is concluded the bidder does not have the required satisfactory work experience. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **not qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).
9. **M/s Kali Son's Telvent Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi :-** The bidder has quoted for supply of 1250 Nos. Solar Lantern (LED Based). The bidder is a MSME unit outside of Jharkhand but claiming the benefit of MSME Jharkhand. The bidder has not submitted Tender Fee, EMD Amount, Performance Certificate, IEC Certificate for Solar Module. The bidder has submitted work order on page no. 13-19 but has not submitted any performance certificate. The Net worth of bidder is 1.83 lacs only on page no. 22. Therefore it is concluded the bidder does not satisfy the tender conditions. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **not qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).
10. **M/s Nano Bright Solar Technology (P) Ltd., Hyderabad:-** The bidder has quoted for supply of 12500 Nos. Solar Lantern & submitted the equivalent amount of Bank guarantee. All document submitted by the bidder as per NIT. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).
11. **M/s S.G. Enterprises, Ranchi :-** The bidder has quoted for supply of 12500 Nos. Solar Lantern (LED Based). The bidder has submitted performance certificate issued by JREDA on page no. 15-17 for which work order has not been submitted. Since the work order had been issued by JREDA itself and which are already available in the JREDA records, therefore on the basis of document as submitted by the bidder the work experience of the firm can be accepted. Hence the committee recommends that the bidder is **qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).





18/6










12. **M/s Minda Industries, Ranchi** :- The bidder has quoted for supply of 12500 Nos. Solar Lantern (LED Based). All document submitted by the bidder as per NIT. But The test certificate for solar lantern LED based submitted by the bidder is issued from UL India Pvt. Ltd. a test Center of Manesar instead of MNRE approved test center (Solar Energy Center, Gurgaon/ ERTL, Kolkata, CPRI, Bangalore) Therefore it is concluded the bidder has failed to submit Valid Test report of LED based Solar Lantern. Hence it is recommended that the bidder is **not qualified** for the opening of Price Bid (Part-II).



(A.K.B. Prasad),
EEE,
Energy Department


(Rajendra Prasad),
Project Manager,
Directorate of
Industry


M.M.P. Tripathi,
Under Secretary,
Energy Department


(Ramesh Prasad),
Under Secretary,
Finance
Department


(K.K. Verma),
CE,
Energy Department


(W.S. Gaur),
Director, JREDA